

THE CHRONICLE.

ED. C. CAMPBELL, Editor.

Clarksville, Tenn., Oct. 28, 1882.

TERMS: \$2.00 IN ADVANCE.

DEMOCRATIC TICKET.

FOR GOVERNOR,

JOSEPH H. FUSSELL,

of Maury.

FOR STATE SENATOR,

Dr. J. C. Steger,

Stewart County.

FOR REPRESENTATIVES,

Hon. R. N. Rhodes,

Col. G. T. Abernathy.

THE ORGAN OF NATIONAL DEMOCRACY IN REPUTATION IN TENNESSEE.

It was asserted by Senator Jackson, in his great speech in this city at the beginning of the canvass, that the platform and principles of the Bate Democracy, as promulgated by the June 20th convention, did not meet with encouragement and favor at the hands of National Democracy, that he was direct from Washington and had talked with leading Democrats both in the Senate and House and that, without exception, they all deplored the step taken by the Bate Democracy to disrupt the 60-4-5-5 settlement of the debt; that while they regretted the split of the Democracy of the State, it would never do for National Democracy to countenance the tendency toward repudiation as declared in the Bate platform; that the Republican coalition with the Mahone repudiators of Virginia had put that party on the defensive in respect to its boasted claim that it was the only party in favor of maintaining the public debt in full; that if the Democratic-Readjuster movement in Tennessee was successful it would destroy the force of this argument against the Republican party and heavily handicap the National Democracy in the coming great race of 1884 and work disastrously against it. That if Tennessee Democracy, claiming to be on account of their large popular majority, the sole guardians of the honor and good name of the State, should go wilfully forward to the repudiation of a fair and honorable compromise of a just debt, which would result in its ultimate full or partial repudiation, it would be seized upon as a powerful argument by the Republican party to show that the tendency of the Democratic party was towards the repudiation of the public obligations of the country, and that such a party ought not to be trusted with National power; that this was the main argument used by the great leaders of that party against us, and that it had defeated us. He said a distinguished Democratic Senator from Pennsylvania especially (where the Democracy were now engaged in a brave struggle for supremacy, with every shadow of success) had come to him and asked him in the name of National Democracy to come to Tennessee and do what he could to head off this fatal movement, that it was a deadly blow to the principles of true Democracy, and if successful would not only cripple it but that it would be almost impossible to make a struggle for National power in 1884.

This is the message that Senator Jackson brought home with him to the Democracy of Tennessee from the great National leaders of that party. How well, how bravely, how nobly and how eloquently he has gone all over the State and delivered this message of warning to the good but erring people of Tennessee is known to all, and it is not necessary to relate here. He has argued with them, plead with them, urged them not to abandon the lofty, glorious principles of true Democracy; not to forget the sacred teachings of its great father, Thomas Jefferson; not to run wild with prejudice and passion and violate the time-honored injunctions of its rightful teacher and foster-mother, the National Democracy, and by not only bring disgrace and dishonor upon the Democracy of Tennessee, but wound the feelings and injure the cause of the parent Democracy of the country.

He tells us all of this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

Why is it that all this, but the question arises, is he to be believed? Is his message from the National Democracy a correct and truthful statement of how the National Democracy looks upon this Readjuster repudiation movement in Tennessee. And this question made all the more pertinent and serious, and forces itself with weighty prominence upon the minds of all contemplative and thoughtful Tennesseans when it is remembered that others of the Tennessee Congressional delegation return home to their constituency and tell a different tale.

THE DIFFERENCE.

The contrast between the course pursued and the speeches made by Mr. Fussell and those of Gen. Bate has been so marked as to excite no little comment, and that quite the reverse of complimentary to the boasted prowess, chivalry and abilities of the latter. Mr. Fussell's course has been open, manly and statesmanlike, proving him to be an able, fearless and willing champion of what he believed to be right, and while it has been a source of pride and pleasure to his friends, it has carried surprise and consternation into the ranks of his enemies, who could hardly realize how one whom they heard was so obscure as not to be known a mile from the smoke of his own chimney, could successfully meet and cope with Republicanism outside of the party and repudiation within it.

Taking the banner of true Democracy entrusted to his keeping, he boldly entered the field and challenging all his opponents to a discussion of the questions at issue, from one end of the State to the other, he has borne it with honor to himself and his supporters. Wherever he has spoken, he has been met by a fair and honest audience, and his face to face the sins of omission and commission of his party; its abuse of power, corruptions, oppressions and maladministration, at the same time repelling all attacks upon Democracy, and by facts, figures and arguments fully demonstrating that the welfare of the country demands that Republicanism shall take a back seat and that Democracy come forward and assume control. At all times he has discussed the various policies on their merits. He has met the issues squarely face to face; there has been no uncertain sound in his speeches, no dodging of questions, no special pleadings, and no skulking from the enemy, but an open, manly, aggressive fight. He has read his platform, and planting himself squarely on it, has defended it with ability from all assaults; giving his views of the policies which should be pursued. He has given good and valid reasons for his position, and asks the support of the people simply and solely upon the ground that he is the representative of true Democracy, and that upon the principles of that party he is entitled to the support of all Democrats in the State; he asks no man to sacrifice his convictions and vote for him on personal grounds, no "scratching the platform to vote for the man." He appeals to no passion, but to the plain common sense, patriotism and State pride of Tennesseans. With a military and civil record of which any man might well be proud, he urges no claim for services rendered, does not propose to draw back pay, but says, "let the dead past bury its dead," spread the pall of oblivion over the dry bones, rise and meet the living issues of the day. He has no debt of gratitude charged against the State for doing his duty as a soldier and a citizen, and only points to the past as an earnest of the future. He only asks the people to lay aside passion and prejudice, give each candidate a fair hearing on his platform and position, then vote as their judgment tells them is right, and he is willing to stand or fall by the decision. He is the fearless, outspoken advocate of honor, justice, temperance, morality and religion, who fears no foe, shirks no duty, evades no issue, and asks no special favors, but regardless of illuolated slurs upon his moral and religious character, and misrepresentations and slanders, he keeps straight on in the line of duty, and by his speech and actions gives the lie direct to all charges against him. He has proven his ability, he has proven his patriotism, he has proven his statesmanship, and he has proven himself in every way worthy of the position which he seeks, and Tennesseans will honor themselves should they elect him Governor.

Gen. Bate's line of action has been a source of mortification to a large number of his friends, and constantly calls for apologies and excuses. The fact that he has done a thing hitherto unknown in the politics of the State, in refusing to canvass the State with his opponents, and has resorted to skulking, dodging and slipping around from place to place, not daring to meet them in fair, open discussion, has excited a feeling of contempt in every fair-minded man, especially in this case after all the boasts of chivalry, eminent statesmanship, oratory and personal magnetism, which his papers claim to be his. It is not necessary to repeat here, but on fair, temperate discussion of points of honest difference? We hope not. We hope they have some good reason for not permitting a joint discussion of the questions at issue; for not wanting the people to hear all sides of this momentous question, upon which they will be called to vote on the 7th of November next.

We put these few questions to the liberal, conscientious, fair-minded citizens of Montgomery county. We ask them to think over them, to answer for themselves, and tell us whether the employment of such tactics is the proper, fair, generous way to conduct a political canvass; whether a cause that is founded on lofty and dignified principles would ever depend for its success and triumph upon such questionable political warfare?

THE MEMPHIS APPEAL, now one of the leading "burrah" Bate-Readjuster repudiating sheets in the State, said of the 100-3 bill soon after its passage, that it "ought to be allowed to stand; further agitation of the subject would be little short of criminal." The Appeal is now supporting Ed. Shaw and repudiation.

THE READJUSTERS' CAVASS CONDUCTED ON A HIGH POLITICAL PLANE?

We want to put a few interrogatories, to state a few facts, and then ask the above question of the fair-minded, generous, high-toned citizens of Montgomery county, or of the whole State, for the matter of that.

Why is it that Bate has failed to meet the issue of his opponents for the Governorship in joint discussion during the canvass? He has not done so.

Why is it that Senator Harris, after consenting to a joint discussion of the question at issue with Senator Jackson, "mutually agreed" to discontinue said joint discussion?

Why is it that Hon. John P. House, after asserting that there was somebody in the State "guilty of treason" to the Democratic party, has failed to accept the open challenge of Gen. Luke E. Wright to go before the people of every county in the State and discuss the question as to who is guilty of that alleged "treason"?

Why is it that Atkins, Whitthorne, Simonson and a few others, now on the retired list, have utterly failed or refused to meet their political opponents of the State Credit Democracy on the political hustings?

Why is it that the Legislative candidates of the Readjuster party have been advised not to, and for the most part have refused, to meet their competitors before the people in the various counties of the State?

Why is it that at every appointment of Messrs. Fussell, Beasley and Hawkins, the woods and cross-roads for miles around have been blazed with circulars, advising and urging the people to stay away from their discussions?

Why is it that at every appointment of Messrs. Fussell, Beasley and Hawkins, the Readjusters have had some distinguished orator, such as Harris, House, Savage, Marks, Turner, Ed. Shaw, "et id omne genus," to speak to the people just a day or two before, or a day or so after, "flocking all alone by himself," and giving an ex parte statement of the State of affairs, and to dispute or make him afraid?

Why is it that, not content with harassing this larger game, some of these distinguished speakers come home, in a few miles of the smoke of their own chimneys and fill appointments on the same day, with a male of the long-standing appointments of the local candidates of the State Credit Democracy?

Why is it all this, we ask in spirit of bitterness and resentment? Is it because they shrink from an open, fair, temperate discussion of points of honest difference? We hope not. We hope they have some good reason for not permitting a joint discussion of the questions at issue; for not wanting the people to hear all sides of this momentous question, upon which they will be called to vote on the 7th of November next.

We put these few questions to the liberal, conscientious, fair-minded citizens of Montgomery county. We ask them to think over them, to answer for themselves, and tell us whether the employment of such tactics is the proper, fair, generous way to conduct a